



MANAGING DIRECTOR: A. A. MURPHY, B.Sc., A.M.E.I.C.
PROGRAM DIRECTOR: S. CLIFTON
PHONES 2949--5374

Radio Station
CFQC
SASKATOON
SASKATCHEWAN
CANADA

500 WATTS ^{12.30} 890 K.C.

FULLY EQUIPPED MODERN
STUDIO

STUDIO ORCHESTRA

ASSOCIATED WITH
ALL CANADIAN NETWORKS

WINNERS "RADIO DIGEST"
MOST POPULAR STATION
CONTEST, 1930

SITUATED IN THE HUB CITY
THE GEOGRAPHICAL CENTRE
OF THE PROVINCE OF
SASKATCHEWAN

Mr. J. W. Brauner,
17 East Spring St.
Williamsville
New York.
USA.

Feb. 28. 34.

Dear Mr. Brauner:-

I find no difficulty at all in being able to Officially Verify your report on reception of CFQC. on Feb. 24th. at 2.04.am.IST.

You give us no less than nine correct numbers, announcements etc.

Every word of this program was closely recorded, in case we would have to refer minutely to the log, therefore, I had but little difficulty in checking your report, and found it correct.

Please accept this letter as an Official Veri, and I am more pleased than I can tell, to be able to do it.

I imagine your location must be the worlds worst for Saskatchewan stations, as I have had many reports from nearly every state in the Union, including three from New Jersey.

Ninety per cent of the reports state that our signals came in fine, the average signal strength being R7 -8, and to our gratification and surprise, most of the reports were exceedingly comprehensive. One from West Virginia and another from New Jersey, gave us practically every number and announcement made correctly.

Radio is a strange and wonderful thing, and all apparently depends on the particular location of the reciever. The power of the reciever seems to mean nothing. One chap in Greenville Pa. gave four numbers rating us at R4, saying that he heard us with difficulty, and using a Scott 12 tube outfit, whereas another fellow, also in Greenville Pa, using a four tube custom built set, gives us the whole program, word for word, at R8 - 9. What can you make of such results?

No other reports mentioned any particulat weakening of our signals, from 2.30. on!

Thanking you once again for your report.

Yours very Sincerely, and thanks for the

Boquets, Hi.

Charles Hesterway